	Case 2.08-cv-00475-RSL Document	18 Filed 00/13/08 Page 1 01 2
01		
02		
03		
04		
05		
06		TRICT COURT
07	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
08	RICHARD C. RODOLF,) (CASE NO. C08-0475-TSZ-MAT
09	Plaintiff,	
10		ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR
11	/	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
12	Defendants.	
13	3	
14	Plaintiff Richard Charles Rodolf, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this 42	
15	U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action, submitted a letter which the Court construes as containing a	
16	request for appointment of counsel. (Dkt. 14.) Having considered that request, as well as the	
17	remainder of the record, the Court does hereby find and ORDER:	
18	(1) There is no right to have counsel appointed in cases brought under § 1983.	
19	Although the Court, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1), can request counsel to represent a party	
20	proceeding in forma pauperis, plaintiff has shown neither exceptional circumstances, nor an	
21	inability to articulate his claims pro se that would warrant the appointment of counsel. See	
22	Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986). Accordingly, plaintiff's request for	
	ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL PAGE -1	

PAGE -2

Case 2:08-cv-00475-RSL Document 18 Filed 06/13/08 Page 2 of 2